

Page 2 1 Hearing re: Motion to Set Last Day to File Proofs of Claim 2 Debtors Motion Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 502 and 503, Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002 and 3003(c)(3), and Local Rules 3003-1 for 3 4 Entry of Order (I) Establishing a Deadline to File Proofs of Claim, Certain Administrative Claims, and Procedures 5 6 Relating Thereto, and (11) Approving the Form and Manner of 7 Notice Thereof. 8 Hearing re: Debtors' Motion for Entry of Interim and Final 9 10 Orders (I) Authorizing, but Not Directing, Payment of 11 Prepetition Wages, Salaries, Business Expenses, Employee Benefits and Related Items, and (11) Directing All Financial 12 13 Institutions to Honor Checks for Payment of Such 14 Obligations. 15 16 Hearing re: Application to Employ Cahill Gordon & Reindel 17 LLP as Special Litigation Counsel. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Pa = 2
	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	ROPES & GRAY LLP
4	Attorney for the Debtor
5	1211 Avenue of the Americas
6	New York, NY 10036
7	
8	BY: GREGG M. GALARDI
9	JONATHAN M. AGUDELO
10	
11	SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
12	Attorney for the Creditors Committee
13	425 Lexington Avenue
14	New York, NY 10017
15	
16	BY: WILLIAM T. RUSSELL, JR.
17	SANDY QUSBA
18	
19	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
20	Attorney for the U.S. Trustee
21	201 Varick Street, Suite 1006
22	New York, NY 10014
23	
24	BY: GREG ZIPES
25	

PROCEEDINGS

MR. GALARDI: Good morning, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Good day.

MR. GALARDI: For the record, Greg Galardi.

Before I just turn over to three matters, I just wanted -- I know you have status conferences for the 18th, but I thought I would give Your Honor just a little bit of background on where we stand because I think it'll be relevant to the bar date motion that we are seeking approval for, and then some other matters.

We still expect -- as your Honor knows, we are in a sales process. We do expect an auction to occur. That'll be bids were due the 15th, 16th we expect for auction, and the 18th is the hearing, so we do believe we will actually have an auction.

Your Honor, we did file the schedules and statements and then had the meeting with the US Trustee's office. Hearing Your Honor about expeditiously pursuing this case, we did seek to have in the motion -- that's the first motion on the agenda -- a bar date of September 19th as the date of the bar date. After thinking about it a little bit and thinking about -- we had called Your Honor for a calendar for October, giving the dates that are available for us in October. I think it's the 4th and the 27th.

Page 5 1 We would be prepared to move the bar date back 10 2 days. We talked to the Committee. I think it's probably wise under the circumstances, given Labor Day and the 3 holiday. so we would suggest that if Your honor is -- and 4 5 that Your Honor may have questions about that, but that will 6 give us -- we still intend to mail and do the notice and the 7 publication pursuant to the terms, but put it to the 8 September 29th date. 9 THE COURT: Well, that's fine, obviously. You 10 have -- the problem here is you had to have a lot of 11 unliquidated court claims. 12 MR. GALARDI: Correct. THE COURT: And I don't know when there's ever 13 14 going to be a distribution of the case. 15 MR. GALARDI: Well, Your Honor, we are -- are 16 working through that and there's not as many -- I mean, 17 obviously there is --18 [OVERLAPPING VOICES] 19 THE COURT: Well, it only takes one, but you have 20 21 MR. GALARDI: It only takes --22 THE COURT: You're going to have four, I think, 23 left. 24 MR. GALARDI: Correct. And as Your Honor is all 25 too aware, the Baleo is an appeal issue which could take a

Page 6 1 time and... But -- and whether we can liquidate it here or 2 liquidate some of these in the -- in the District Court, 3 obviously that's something we had a call with the Committee to give them general status and then once the sale gets 4 5 done, I think were going to be talking about the plan and 6 how to best -- how best to resolve all of those issues. 7 There's an allocation among the estates, so that's another 8 reason why we think -- get -- we wanted to have the bar date 9 on file, but the extra 10 days, given (indiscernible) --10 THE COURT: It's not going to make a difference 11 anyway. 12 MR. GALARDI: Yeah. That's what we thought, it 13 wouldn't make a difference. You still have the government 14 bar date out there in December anyway. We do have a 15 potential government claim, so we still think we're on track 16 in the plan and disclosure statement. We're still thinking 17 of a late October disclosure statement and a plan by year But we'll have to deal with those claims. 18 end. 19 I don't know if Your Honor had any other comments 20 on the bar date --21 THE COURT: Yeah. 22 MR. GALARDI: -- order or motion? THE COURT: Well, just a second. One, do you have 23 24 your bar date order in front of you? 25 MR. GALARDI: I'm getting it out. Yes, Your

	Page 7			
1	Honor.			
2	THE COURT: What's the difference between			
3	Paragraph 5(d) and Paragraph (8)?			
4	MR. GALARDI: Paragraph 5			
5	THE COURT: 6(d)			
6	MR. GALARDI: (d)			
7	THE COURT: That's the one that says equity			
8	security holders			
9	MR. GALARDI: Correct.			
10	THE COURT: don't have to file a claim unless			
11	they also have a claim.			
12	MR. GALARDI: Right.			
13	THE COURT: And Paragraph 8 seems to say the same			
14	thing.			
15	MR. GALARDI: And which paragraph says this?			
16	THE COURT: Eight. Not sure of the language, by			
17	the way.			
18	MR. GALARDI: Correct. I think it probably in			
19	much shorter language, I think it's the same thing.			
20	THE COURT: So why don't you take one and jettison			
21	the other?			
22	MR. GALARDI: That's fine. I think we're going to			
23	take the longer until I look otherwise.			
24	THE COURT: So don't forget to re-number or re-			
25	letter your paragraphs, though.			

MR. GALARDI: We will do so, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, does anyone else want to be heard in connection with the bar date? All right.

Submit a new order with the new bar date and I'll sign it.

MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GALARDI: Now, with respect to the second matter that's on the agenda, this a matter that we've held since the first day and we've got a series of interim orders. It is the wage motion, and I -- if I may approach the bench with the redline?

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, very briefly, we've worked with the Committee to -- this goes to severance payments, and one of the issues -- and Your Honor has previously approved severance for employees with a couple exclusions up to an amount of four weeks.

Your Honor, we do have a collective bargaining agreement in this -- in this case. And with respect to the collective bargaining agreement, certain persons under the collective bargaining agreement have additional severance beyond that four weeks.

And one of the issues that we are -- have been wrestling with with the Committees' -- with the Committee working together in concert is the collective bargaining

agreement. You'll be happy to know, Your Honor, that -well, you'll be -- know if you'll be happy to know, but we
are familiar that with Your Honor's Opinion, we're going in
collective bargaining agreements, there is no successor
clause in this collective bargaining agreement.

We are trying to get buyers to assume the collective bargaining agreement, but they may not do so. Is they don't do so, we are familiar enough with the law that we are trying to still not be in breach of the collective bargaining agreement so we do not have to go through the 1113 process. We've been in contact with the representatives of the Union. They will be filing, I believe, a statement or an objection saying that the collective bargaining agreement should be assumed. And we are encouraging buyers to do so.

With all that said, one of the critical -- and we think probably the only major obligation of the collective bargaining agreement that would remain should a buyer not take the collective bargaining agreement, is the payment of severance obligations. And what we have agreed with the Committee and what this order reflects, and Your Honor will see it the redline, is that with respect to employees who are subject to the collective bargaining agreement,

Paragraph 6 now provides that we will be able to make those payments to the severance to the employees under the

collective bargaining agreement, as the collective bargaining -- as the collective bargaining agreement requires. So, it's so we wont be in breach of an obligation. It'll be more than four weeks; sometimes it's eight weeks. I don't think there is many people higher than eight weeks, and we appreciate the Committee working with us to resolve that issue.

The only reason it is an interim order is that there are still certain employees that would be entitled to greater than four weeks that we have not addressed, but in light of the sale and in light of potential bidders, determining which employees they might take and which employees they don't -- they won't take, what we have agreed with the Committee is to adjourn this matter over to September 13th, which we have a hearing scheduled for that date, Your Honor, with an objection deadline of September 6th.

We think that date works well because if we are successful with the sale and Your Honor approves the sale, we believe the final part of August will be making offers to employees to go with the new bidder. We will then know by around September 2nd, which is the date we think they -- the sale will close, who will be and who will not be taken by the buyers. We'll be able to put some number on the severance obligations, have a further discussion with the

Committee regarding that, and determine whether there is need for a fight or not a fight regarding any balance of severance.

With that, Your Honor, we would ask Your Honor -and we will have to submit the order with the dates of
September 13th and 6th -- we'd ask Your Honor to approve the
third interim wage order with those dates included in them.

THE COURT: Does anyone want to be heard in connection with the application or the proposal for another interim order? Record should reflect there is no response.

I'll approve the interim order and I've adjourned the matter to September 13th.

MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GALARDI: The next matters that are on the agenda, three of which are adjourned but one is -- has been resolved with the Committee. Your Honor, the Debtors filed a series. Your Honor may recall we had put a number of professionals on an ordinary course. Your Honor had concerns about that. We then filed separate 327(e) applications with respect to a series of professionals.

Four -- there were four such applications. I think another one is actually pending. Those -- of those four applications, one of the applications was to retain the law firm Cahill to handle one of the matters. The Committee

filed an objection expressing concerns with respect to allocation of liability, indemnification, contribution rights, based on the fact that all of these counsel represented not only the Debtor, but other -- other parties.

The U.S. Trustee had also given us an informal objection asking for certain information. Your Honor may know from the docket, we filed some supplemental direct declarations. There was also a concern of how the fees would be allocated among the various entities.

We talked with the Committee yesterday regarding the four applications. We've sought to adjourn three, but with respect to Cahill, we reached an agreement with the Committee regarding going forward subject to Your Honor's approval with respect to Cahill.

In particular, Your Honor, the Committee agreed to withdraw its objection to the Cahill to serve a special counsel with respect to the Mail Media litigation, based on an -- the explanation that Cahill and I gave them, with respect to the particular circumstances of the litigation.

The circumstances are that Cahill has negotiated a settlement currently. The material terms of the settlement is in the final phases of preparing the final documentation. Cahill believes, but disclosed to the Committee in confidence that it believes that publicly disclosing the potential settlement terms at this time and on the record,

Pg 13 of 18 Page 13 1 meaning with the press around, may be detrimental to 2 actually completing the documentation, but Cahill does not expect, and advised, as did I, that there will be any issues 3 of contributions, indemnification or allocation among the 4 5 parties, and with those representations, we believe the 6 Committee has withdrawn its objection with respect to 7 Cahill. 8 If Your Honor wanted more details, I could give 9 them to you in Chambers. 10 THE COURT: When we decide about it, the U.S. 11 Trustee has any issue with the retention of Cahill. As I 12 understand it, Cahill is returning -- representing two 13 parties, the Debtor and a non-debtor party. 14 MR. GALARDI: Correct. A freelance writer. 15 THE COURT: It has negotiated a settlement, which 16 everybody can take a look at I guess, and decide whether 17 it's fair or not, which would sort of render --MR. GALARDI: And Your Honor --18 THE COURT: -- render the entire thing academic at 19 20 that point. But you're not prepared to -- the settlement 21 isn't finalized. Is there any objection to --22 MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, I haven't seen the 23 settlement, but based on what's been said, we have no 24 objection. We would have an allocation issue, as does the

Committee (indiscernible).

Page 14 THE COURT: Well, yeah, that's -- that becomes a 1 2 settlement issue at that point, not any -- not any other. 3 MR. ZIPES: So we have no objection, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right, then I will approve the 5 Cahill retention. You can submit an order on the balance of 6 the retentions or applications that are going to run. 7 MR. GALARDI: Well, we have adjourned them to 8 August 18th with Your Honor, hoping to try to resolve them. 9 That is the sale hearing. We'll see what we can do. There 10 may -- in light of all the other issues with the claims, 11 that may further get adjourned, but right now we're putting 12 them on the 18th just to keep them on the calendar and 13 everybody working to achieve -- try to resolve or find other 14 counsel. So, that's the -- that'll be the issue. 15 THE COURT: Great. And you can submit an order on 16 that one. 17 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, that concludes the 18 matters for us. 19 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 20 MR. GALARDI: Thank you. 21 MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, very briefly, the 22 Committee wanted to make a very short statement. 23 THE COURT: Sure. 24 MR. RUSSELL: The Committee read this past weekend 25 on Page 6 of the New York Post that Mr. Denton is apparently

1 throwing a Gawker reunion party called "Against All Odds."

THE COURT: Must be true if it's on Page 6, huh?

3 MR. RUSSELL: Exactly, Your Honor. Against all

4 Odds, a celebration of 14 years of independent journalism.

5 Apparently the Debtors are footing the bill for Mr. Denton's

6 party. We reached out to the Debtors --

THE COURT: And Mr. Denton's a Debtor also?

8 MR. RUSSELL: He is, but not -- not in this case

9 and we don't represent his Creditor's Committee. The

10 Debtor's represented to us that the cost of the party is

11 around \$1,000, so it certainly wasn't worth filing any kind

12 of formal objection.

2

7

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It's costing you \$1,000 too, but you

spent \$1,000 just for you to tell me this now.

[OVERLAPPING CONVERSATION]

MR. RUSSELL: Probably not far from it, but there is an important principle here, which is why the Committee wanted me to make a statement that... The Committee wanted to note its objection to the use of Debtor funds by Mr. Denton as part of any operative, rehabilitate his reputation, and while the Debtors are taking a position that this party is an ordinary course expense, the Committee thinks throwing a party on the eve of the sale, the company

is not an ordinary course expense and going forward, we

would expect that at the very least, the Committee be given

Page 16 1 notice of any expenditure like this in the future. 2 THE COURT: Well, certainly Debtor can't spend 3 money outside of the ordinary course of business. I don't know if this is or is not. 4 5 MR. RUSSELL: But again, for \$1,000, Your Honor, 6 it certainly wasn't worth seeking relief. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. GALARDI: Your Honor, I do have to make a 9 couple comments, only because -- and I know it's costing 10 more than this -- one is it wasn't Mr. Denton throwing the 11 party. It was Gawker throwing the party. In fact, it is a semi-annual event that Gawker does send for its medias and 12 13 writers. As soon as we heard about it, we did give the Committee the expense. I thought that was the end of it. 14 15 To date, I think it's under \$1,000. I don't expect it to 16 get higher. Historically, it's been well under \$3,000. It 17 is not a Mr. Denton party. It is a Debtor party. I hope this is the end of that conversation. 18 19 THE COURT: Thank you for that clarification. 20 Thank you, gentlemen. Have a nice day. 21 MR. RUSSELL: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 23 10:58 AM) 24 25

	Pg 17 of 18		
			Page 17
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		Page	Line
5	Third Interim Wage Order Approved	11	11
6	Cahill Retention Approved	14	4-5
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 18 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Digitally signed by Sonya Ledanski Hyde Sonya Ledanski 6 DN: cn=Sonya Ledanski Hyde, o=Veritext, ou, email=digital@veritext.com, c=US Hyde Date: 2016.08.17 13:46:26 -04'00' 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 August 17, 2016 Date: